```
1
    TRANSCRIBED FROM DIGITAL RECORDING
2
                  IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
                     NORTHERN DISTRICT OF ILLINOIS
3
                           EASTERN DIVISION
4
    DON LIPPERT, et al.,
                 Plaintiffs,
5
6
                VS.
                                            No. 10 C 4603
7
    PARTH GHOSH, M.D., et al.,
                                         ) Chicago, Illinois
                                            December 12, 2017
8
                 Defendants.
                                            10:03 A.M.
9
                  TRANSCRIPT OF PROCEEDINGS - Status
       BEFORE THE HONORABLE DANIEL G. MARTIN, Magistrate Judge
10
    APPEARANCES:
11
    For the Plaintiffs:
                              ROGER BALDWIN FOUNDATION
12
                                OF ACLU, INC.
                              150 North Michigan Avenue
                              Suite 600
13
                              Chicago, Illinois 60601
                              BY: MS. CAMILLE E. BENNETT
14
                                   MS. LINDSAY STARK MILLER
15
                              CITY OF CHICAGO, DEPARTMENT OF LAW
    For the Defendants:
                              30 North LaSalle Street
16
                              Suite 900
17
                              Chicago, Illinois 60602
                              BY: MR. KEVIN R. LOVELLETTE
18
    For Burks's Defendants:
                              CASSIDAY SCHADE LLP
19
                              20 North Wacker Drive
                              Suite 1000
                              Chicago, Illinois 60606
20
                              BY: MR. JOSEPH JACK LOMBARDO
21
                      PAMELA S. WARREN, CSR, RPR
                        Official Court Reporter
22
                  219 South Dearborn Street, Room 2342
                       Chicago, Illinois 60604
23
                            (312) 408-5100
    NOTE: Please notify of correct speaker identification.
24
    FAILURE TO SPEAK DIRECTLY INTO THE MICROPHONE MAKES PORTIONS
    UNINTELLIGIBLE.
25
```

1	APPEARANCES: Continued	
2	For the Intervenor:	MICHAEL P. MAZZA, LLC
3	· · 2	686 Crescent Boulevard Glen Ellyn, Illinois 60137
4		BY: MR. PAUL ROBERT HALE
5		
6		
7		
8		
9		
10		
11		
12		
13		
14		
15		
16		
17		
18		
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		

```
1
         (Proceedings held in open court:)
2
             THE CLERK: 10 C 4603, Lippert versus Ghosh.
             All right. Come on in. Gather around.
3
             All right. We'll start with our plaintiffs, counsel
4
    for the plaintiffs.
5
6
             MS. BENNETT: Good morning, your Honor. Camille
    Bennett, B-e-n-n-e-t-t, for the plaintiffs.
7
8
             THE COURT: Ms. Bennett, good morning.
9
             MS. MILLER: Good morning, your Honor. Lindsay
10
    Miller, M-i-l-l-e-r, for the plaintiffs.
11
             THE COURT: Ms. Miller, good morning to you.
12
             Okay. Here's our intervenor.
13
             MR. HALE: Good morning, your Honor. Paul Hale,
    H-a-l-e, for intervenor Kenneth Burks, (unintelligible) Case
14
    Number 15 C 55.
15
16
             THE COURT: Okay. Mr. Hale, good morning to you.
17
             MR. HALE: Good morning, your Honor.
             THE COURT: Our AGs.
18
             MR. LOVELLETTE: Kevin Lovellette on behalf of the
19
    defendants. L-o-v-e-l-l-e-t-t-e.
20
21
             THE COURT: Mr. Lovellette, good morning.
22
             MR. LOMBARDO: Good morning, your Honor. Joe
23
    Lombardo, L-o-m-b-a-r-d-o, on behalf of the defendants in the
24
    Kenneth Burks case and, I guess, the dismissed defendant in
    this case.
25
```

```
THE COURT: Who is the dismissed defendant at this
1
2
    point, Athena Rossiter?
3
             MR. LOMBARDO: Rossiter.
             THE COURT: Okay. Good morning, Mr. -- why am I so
4
    tongue tied today -- Lovellette.
5
             MR. LOVELLETTE: Lovellette.
6
7
             THE COURT: Lovellette. You know, I'm -- you have
    only appeared in front of me for years and years and years.
8
    I'm sorry, Mr. Lovellette --
9
10
             MR. LOVELLETTE: It's quite all right.
11
             THE COURT: -- I'm sorry, I'm a little off my mark
12
    today.
             Mr. Lombardo, good morning also.
13
             All right. Class action referred for discovery
14
    supervision. We have some -- many items with which to deal
15
    today.
16
17
             The district court has certified a class of all
    prisoners in the custody of the IDOC with serious medical or
18
    dental needs on August 15th of 2017.
19
             Judge Alonso set the following dates regarding the
20
    class claims:
21
22
             Your fact discovery on the class claims closes on
    March 2nd of 2018.
23
             Plaintiffs's Rule 26(a)(2) disclosures and reports are
24
25
    to be served by March 2nd, 2018.
```

1 Depositions of plaintiffs's experts completed by April 2 2nd of 2018. Defendants's Rule 26(a)(2) disclosures and reports 3 4 served by April 16th of 2018. Depositions of defense experts completed by April 5 30th, 2018. 6 7 All expert discovery completed by April 30th of 2018. And dispositive motions with supporting memoranda due 8 9 by May 30th of 2018. 10 Judge Alonso also has a status hearing set for May 2nd 11 of 2018 at 9:30 in the morning. 12 On December 8th of 2017, Judge Alonso entered a second 13 order appointing a second expert, Dr. Michael P-u-i-s-i-s, as an expert pursuant to Rule 706 of the Federal Rules of 14 Evidence. 15 16 And that is the summary that I have of the case to 17 date. Several issues we have to follow up on today. 18 19 First, the state defendants's privilege log remains outstanding. It was due long, long ago. At our last status 20 21 hearing on November 21st, I directed the state defendants to 22 provide an update today regarding the progress of the privilege 23 loq. What is the status of the privilege log, and when will 24 25 it be completed? The date?

```
MR. LOVELLETTE: We will produce it in seven days,
1
2
    your Honor.
3
             THE COURT: Seven days. All right. Thank you very
4
    much.
             Second, November 21st, 2017, status. I gave the state
5
    defendants an extension until December 4th to update their
6
7
    production of the hard copy documents from the original eight
8
    prisons.
9
             Was that done?
10
             MR. LOVELLETTE: We missed the 4th, but it has been
11
    produced to the plaintiffs.
12
             THE COURT: Done. Okay.
13
             MS. BENNETT: Your Honor, we did receive documents
    last Friday; however, we have not received them for all eight
14
    facilities.
15
16
             THE COURT: Okay. How many are missing?
17
             MS. BENNETT: Do you know how many are missing?
             MR. LOMBARDO: Your Honor --
18
             THE COURT: Hard copy documents from the original
19
    eight prisons.
20
21
             MR. LOVELLETTE: Your Honor, this is the first I'm
22
    hearing about this. Perhaps we could have a 37 -- Rule 37
23
    conference.
             THE COURT: A Rule 37 conference when? Well, I want
24
25
    to know what's still missing, at least.
```

```
1
             MS. BENNETT: Your Honor, the short answer is that a
2
    number of the folders contain documents from six prisons. They
3
    are actually the six prisons where the named plaintiffs were
4
    housed.
5
             THE COURT: Yeah.
6
             MS. BENNETT: So that means that we're missing three
7
    of the Shansky eight prisons.
             There is also one folder called budget, which contains
8
9
    documents only from two prisons.
10
             THE COURT: Okay. When can we have a conference and
11
    get that taken care of? Today before we leave the building?
12
             MS. BENNETT: I know that -- I don't know that
13
    Mr. Lovellette actually knows the status of the contents of the
    discovery.
14
15
             MR. LOVELLETTE: We thought we had produced
    everything. So I -- actually this might be better to do to go
16
17
    back to our offices and --
             THE COURT: Fine.
18
19
             MR. LOVELLETTE: -- you can have the information right
20
    in front of you, and then we can call.
21
             THE COURT: It sounds like a plan. All right. That
22
    will be completed, let's say, at the very latest by the end of
    the week. Okay?
23
             MR. LOVELLETTE: Okay.
24
25
             THE COURT: All right. Third, last status hearing I
```

gave the state defendants until December 4th to provide updated 1 2 responses to plaintiffs's second interrogatories, which were 3 originally answered in 2013. Was that done? 4 MR. LOVELLETTE: That has not been done. 5 6 THE COURT: Okay. You have got till Friday to do 7 that. Okay? MR. LOVELLETTE: 8 Yes. 9 THE COURT: What is that, the 15th, this Friday? 10 THE CLERK: Yes. 11 MS. BENNETT: Yes. 12 THE COURT: Okay. December 15th that's due. 13 Okay. Fourth, parties were to meet and confer by November 29, 2017, on all other outstanding discovery issues, 14 including, number one, a reasonable date for the defendants to 15 update their discovery production, including emails, before 16 17 trial; and, number two, the issues raised by plaintiffs's 11th motion to compel. 18 What is the status of these matters? Did you meet and 19 20 confer November 29th, 2017? 21 MS. BENNETT: We did have a phone call to discuss 22 these issues. 23 THE COURT: Okay. MS. BENNETT: So we did learn that DOC has run some 24 updated ESI searches, but they informed us that it is going to 25

```
take about three months to finish reviewing and getting those
1
2
    documents to us. And as your Honor noted, our --
3
             THE COURT: Hurry up and wait.
             MS. BENNETT: -- discovery cutoff is March 2nd. And
4
    we have -- we're also informed that DOC needs to schedule
5
6
    depositions for the month of February.
7
             So we need these updated documents prior to being able
    to take these depositions.
8
9
             THE COURT: When is a reasonable time within which to
    order them and take -- get them to you and to have them?
10
11
             Give me a date that conforms with all these other
12
    dates. Thirty days?
13
             MS. BENNETT: Thirty days would be fine, your Honor.
             MR. LOVELLETTE: Can I ask --
14
15
             THE COURT: Forty-five?
16
             MR. LOVELLETTE: Forty-five because of the Christmas
17
    holiday.
18
             THE COURT: Forty-five.
19
             MR. LOVELLETTE: Thank you.
             THE COURT: Forty-five days to update discovery
20
21
    production, including emails, before trial, and any issues
22
    raised by plaintiffs's 11th motion to compel. Forty-five days
23
    due.
             Fifth, on November 28th intervenor Burks filed his
24
25
    alternate motion to intervene to modify a protective order in
```

```
1
    this case seeking an order allowing Dr. Shansky to testify in
2
    Mr. Burks's case. Okay. Defendants's joint response is not
3
    due yet. It is due on the 19th.
             But Mr. Burks, I'm asking Mr. Hale, and the former
4
5
    Mr. Burks, if the order in this case was modified to allow
6
    Dr. Shansky to testify in Burks's case, who is it who would pay
7
    Dr. Shansky's fees?
             Step up and let me know.
8
9
             MR. HALE: Ummm --
10
             THE COURT: You didn't address that issue in your
11
    motion, Mr. Hale.
12
             Step up so we can hear your response.
13
             MR. HALE: My firm would be happy to assume the costs
    if they are -- if they are reasonable, your Honor.
14
15
             We are considering expert discovery on another matter.
    Judge Andrea Wood has -- is about to enter a discovery
16
17
    schedule.
             So there is expert discovery contemplated in this
18
19
           If Dr. Shansky's testimony seems relevant, as it seems
20
    to based upon his writings in his published report, my firm
    would be interested in paying, your Honor. I don't think there
21
22
    is any sort of Northern District of Illinois disbursable funds
    available to my firm at this point because --
23
24
             THE COURT: I don't think so.
25
             MR. HALE: -- we're appointed counsel. So short
```

```
1
    answer, we're happy to pay.
2
             THE COURT: Okay. Is there a motion hearing set for
    12-28 on the motion?
3
             MR. HALE: Your Honor, I accidentally -- I, in error,
4
    noticed --
5
             THE COURT: Okay. Why don't we strike that day, and
6
    we'll just take the responses, and we'll issue an --
7
             MR. HALE: Yeah.
8
9
             THE COURT: Pardon?
10
             Do you want to file a reply after they file their
11
    joint response?
12
             MR. HALE: Yes, please, your Honor.
13
             THE COURT: Okay.
             MR. HALE: Short date. Whatever works for you.
14
             THE COURT: Well, what works for you, a week later?
15
    Seven days after 12-19 on a response day?
16
17
             MR. HALE: That would be great, your Honor. Thank
18
    you.
19
             THE COURT: Where does that put us?
         (Discussion off the record.)
20
21
             THE COURT: All right. We'll the get these dates
22
    entered.
         (Discussion off the record.)
23
24
             THE COURT: 12-26.
25
             MR. LOMBARDO: Your Honor, may I raise an issue
```

```
1
    regarding the response?
2
             THE COURT: Let's get that -- let's get that date
    first, and then we can raise the issue.
3
4
             Okay. What's the issue regarding the response?
5
             MR. LOMBARDO: Speaking to Ms. Bennett, who has been
    in contact with Ms. Shansky or Dr. --
6
7
             THE COURT: Ms.?
             MR. LOMBARDO: Dr. Shansky. Excuse me.
8
9
             THE COURT: Okay.
10
             MR. LOMBARDO: It appears that Dr. Shansky is willing
11
    to sign it or is under the impression that he would have never
12
    gone or been willing to get this appointment had he known that
13
    he would be susceptible to depositions in other IDOC and
    Wexford cases. And I'll let Ms. Bennett correct me if I am
14
15
    wrong because I did not speak to him.
16
             So I guess we made -- we may need a declaration or
17
    affidavit from Dr. Shansky to support our motion. Or, I mean,
    if the Court is just willing to take Ms. Bennett at her word,
18
19
    that's -- that's Dr. Shansky's stance on the matter, that would
20
    be fine too. And we can get it -- a response on file by the
21
    19th.
22
             However we do need his declaration. We're probably
23
    going to need some additional time.
24
             THE COURT: What kind of a declaration are you
25
    referring to?
```

1 MR. LOMBARDO: It would be Dr. Shansky, affying to the 2 fact that had he known he would ever have to be appointed -- or 3 be giving depositions in other Wexford, IDOC cases, he would 4 not have agreed to become a court-appointed expert in this 5 matter. And I think Dr. Puisis -- I haven't spoken with him. 6 7 I don't know if Ms. Bennett has -- but I think that -- there would be an argument there that he was not going to be willing 8 9 to accept the appointment had he known he is going to have to 10 give depositions in other Wexford, IDOC cases. 11 Or the Court --12 THE COURT: Nothing I can do about that right now. 13 But go ahead, Mr. Lombardo. 14 MR. LOMBARDO: So I would say that if the Court is not 15 willing just to accept, you know, Ms. Bennett's word that that's Dr. Shansky's opinion, then I think I would need a 16 17 couple more weeks for Ms. Bennett to obtain a declaration on that issue. 18 THE COURT: Let's hear from Ms. Bennett. 19 20 What's your take? 21 MS. BENNETT: Your Honor, first of all, we have no 22 idea what Dr. Puisis would say about this. 23 Here is what Dr. Shansky told me when I spoke to him 24 back in early October. As I reported last time, that was when 25 I discovered he didn't have any documents, having disposed of

his files when he moved --1 2 THE COURT: Right. 3 MS. BENNETT: -- in October of 2016, well before the 4 Burks's subpoena was served. 5 But he -- what is at issue that concerns the Lippert 6 parties in particular in this case is the order that appointed 7 him in the first place, which is Docket 244 in this case, the agreed order appointing the expert. 8 9 And there are two paragraphs in there that restricted Dr. Shansky from doing what the Burks's plaintiffs want him to 10 11 do. 12 One, he was supposed to retain all materials that were 13 given to him by the Department of Corrections in the course of his investigation as confidential. 14 15 And also he was not permitted to testify in any other 16 cases. 17 THE COURT: Okay. MS. BENNETT: And those are both provisions of the 18 19 order. And that is the -- why we are here because that order 20 21 has to be undone for Dr. Shansky to do what the Burks's 22 plaintiffs want. 23 The other -- so as long as I was talking to Dr. Shansky that day, I asked him about the deposition 24 25 provision because I anticipated that that might come up down

the line.

And what he told me was this. He said, I have been doing this for 30 years. The provisions that are in the agreed order are extremely standard provisions. I have never had to turn over documents or give a deposition in an unrelated case where I have been performing the kind of consultant or expert analysis that I did here in Lippert.

And, moreover, I wouldn't have agreed to take -- take on this work. And I don't think anybody else would if they were going to be exposed to being deposed in hundreds of cases.

I think that the Court should not simply take my word for this. I mean, this is an important matter. And I -- I actually suggested to Mr. Lombardo that this being what Dr. Shansky's position was, it probably would be a good idea to get a declaration from him and --

THE COURT: Absolutely. We're going to go through the entirety of the process. And your joint response is due on 12-19. And if you need more time within which to get a declaration, you can do that. All right?

I want to examine everything that's relevant to all sides of the issue, and then we'll give you a ruling with respect to the present motion that's pending before the Court to modify the protective order in the case allowing Dr. Shansky to testify in Burks's case. That's at the center of it all. I'm not going to make a ruling from the bench.

```
So let's get online with whatever the dates are.
1
2
    Right now the 19th and the 26th.
             If someone needs more time to obtain a declaration,
3
4
    that's not going to be a glitch, you know. It is not going to
5
    be an impediment. I mean, this is a case about -- about
6
    allowing the parties to fully explain and air their positions,
7
    and then considered and thoughtful rulings.
             All right. So I think we're jumping the gun a little
8
9
    bit here.
10
             MR. LOMBARDO: Well, I think -- I think it is due in
    one week, your Honor. So I think we definitely would need more
11
12
    time for the declaration. I'd prefer --
13
             THE COURT: Make a note of that. And as I have said
    to you, I'm not going to bar you from filing that. Okay?
14
15
             MR. LOMBARDO: So you want us to re- -- just file a
    motion and come back?
16
17
             THE COURT: Right.
             MR. LOMBARDO: That's fine.
18
19
             THE COURT: What do you want?
             Let me confer with my boss here. Thanks
20
         (Discussion off the record.)
21
22
             THE COURT: Okay. All right. I haven't been
    overruled but I have conferred --
23
24
         (Laughter.)
             THE COURT: -- with the highest authority available to
25
```

```
me at this time.
1
2
             What would you need in order to file a joint response
    that includes the declaration or whatever else you believe is
3
    important or essential to your adequate response?
4
             MR. LOMBARDO: I would defer to Ms. Bennett on that.
5
6
             THE COURT: All right.
7
             MR. LOMBARDO: I would notice it for -- I don't think
    it is going to be fully a joint response because I don't think
8
9
    Ms. Bennett is joining all of the reasons why I'm opposing this
10
    motion.
             I think she's just going to file a brief response that
11
    includes the declaration.
12
             THE COURT: I thought it was defendants's joint
13
    response. And --
             MR. LOMBARDO: We're (unintelligible).
14
15
             THE COURT: -- now you're telling me that they are
    going to be individual responses? That's a different issue.
16
17
             So what do we have here?
             MR. LOMBARDO: Well, I'll just defer to Ms. Bennett.
18
19
             How long do you need for the declaration?
20
             MS. BENNETT: Your Honor, when the defendants had
21
    previously briefed the Burks's previous motion, they did
22
    get -- give us, the plaintiffs, an opportunity to review it
23
    before they filed it. And it was apparent to us there were a
    number of issues in this case that are Burks specific that
24
25
    really are not things that we would join in. So we would have
```

```
1
    only joined in part of the response anyway.
2
             What I had suggested to Mr. Lombardo today was that we
3
    would submit -- I would do my best to get the Shansky
    declaration in time, and we -- we would likely deliver -- the
4
5
    Lippert plaintiffs would likely submit that as our --
6
             THE COURT: Okay.
             MS. BENNETT: -- contribution to the briefing as it
7
8
    were.
9
             THE COURT: Joint and several responses.
10
             MS. BENNETT: Right.
11
             THE COURT: When do you want -- what time do you want?
12
             MR. LOMBARDO: I would suggest January 9th in lieu of
13
    the holidays.
14
             THE COURT: Okay. That sounds reasonable to me,
15
    January 9th.
16
             And January 16th for a reply.
17
             MR. LOMBARDO: Thank you, your Honor.
             THE COURT: Does that sound fine?
18
19
             And if you -- you know, if you need a little extra
20
    time, if you're running up against it, file an agreed motion.
21
    All right?
22
             And I -- and I'm always pleased when parties cooperate
23
    with each other on things like agreed motions to extend time
    for relatively short periods. Okay? Obstreperous conduct is
24
25
    always viewed with great disdain by me.
```

```
1
             Cooperate with each other. Okay? I mean, you know
2
    you could have just said, we need more time, and I -- I am not
3
    the toughest guy in the building with respect to that. Okay?
4
             January the 9th, January 16th.
             And that should do it?
5
             MR. LOMBARDO: That will do it.
6
7
             THE COURT: All right. And if you think it is not
    going to -- yes, Ms. Bennett, you're raising your hand.
8
9
             MS. BENNETT: I -- I am, Judge, but it is a different
    matter.
10
             THE COURT: All right. Let's wait until we have
11
12
    resolved this.
13
             So the 9th and the 16th are good dates?
             MR. LOMBARDO: Yes, your Honor.
14
15
             THE COURT: Okay.
             MS. BENNETT: Yes, your Honor.
16
17
             THE COURT: So let me know ASAP if you need a little
    more time, if Dr. Shansky's out of the country or something.
18
19
    Okay?
20
             I don't want to engage in any kind of (unintelligible)
21
    form over substance. All right? And then I have said all I'm
22
    going to do on that.
23
             Go ahead.
24
             MS. BENNETT: It is taking your Honor's caution about
25
    obstreperousness with some seriousness. We did gloss over one
```

```
issue earlier, which is the documents in the 11th motion to
1
2
    compel.
3
             THE COURT: Okay.
4
             MS. BENNETT: We still don't have those, and I don't
5
6
             THE COURT: I know.
7
             MS. BENNETT: -- believe that the defendants claim to
    have produced them.
8
9
             THE COURT: The issues raised by plaintiffs's 11th
10
    motion to compel are due and owing. I had three months set
11
    out, and that seems like a long time because that's been
12
    pending for quite some time. Now what do you want us to do?
13
             Your opponents have said three months. It
    is -- they're culling through. They're doing -- I mean, this
14
15
    has been a very, very slow and tedious discovery process for
    everyone, you know.
16
17
             In fact, I think -- what happened to Duran? Did he
    leave? Is he not going to show up? I thought we had a really
18
19
    good thing going, Duran and I, you know. Remember, we had a
    settlement conference, Mr. Lombardo, and we all --
20
21
             MR. LOMBARDO: I wasn't there.
22
             THE COURT: You weren't there for that one?
23
             MR. LOMBARDO: (Unintelligible) was on the underlying
    (unintelligible).
24
25
             THE COURT: All right.
```

```
1
             MR. LOMBARDO: (Unintelligible).
2
             THE COURT: You know, I hope it wasn't something I
3
    said, you know? He's not obstreperous, he just kind of smiles
4
    and says, we'll do the best we can.
5
             What do you propose, Ms. Bennett?
6
             MS. BENNETT: Judge, the documents at issue in the
7
    11th motion to compel aren't update documents, they date back
    to the 5th request for production --
8
9
             THE COURT: All right.
10
             MS. BENNETT: -- which was served in May of 2016, and
11
    we have been trying to get them ever since.
12
             And the defendant (unintelligible) enormous amount of
13
    back and forth about these over time, and I would -- you know,
    could review it for the Court, but I don't have to depending
14
15
    on --
16
             THE COURT: Mr. Lovellette, can we really put some
17
    emphasis on that and get that taken care of?
18
             MS. BENNETT: And the -- in the meet and confer that
19
    we had on November 29th, Mr. Lovellette wasn't able to
20
    participate, so his younger colleague Mr. Stephenson
21
    participated. And Mr. Stephenson --
22
             THE COURT: A fine young man.
23
             MS. BENNETT: -- (unintelligible).
             THE COURT: I want the --
24
25
             MS. BENNETT: He did nothing --
```

```
THE COURT: -- A troops on this.
1
2
             MS. BENNETT: He knew nothing about this.
3
             THE COURT: All right.
4
             MS. BENNETT: He would report back to the higher ups.
5
    But that's where this --
6
             THE COURT: Mr. Lovellette is going to be in on this
7
    one. Let's do it according to your schedule. Okay? I don't
    call you the A troops for nothing. Okay? And Stephenson is a
8
9
    wonderful young lawyer, and it is not to disparage him, but
    come on, guys.
10
             There is a new year coming up. And I would really
11
    like to, at our next status, like in January, whenever it will
12
13
    be, to say that a lot of these issues have been resolved, and
    we can move forward with this litigation. This is one of the
14
15
    first cases I -- that I had as a Judge, and it is still here.
16
             So let's set a status.
17
             THE CLERK: January 18th.
             THE COURT: All right. Well, I'm going to put that to
18
19
    Mr. Lovellette.
20
             When can we get the documents?
21
             MR. LOVELLETTE:
                              I honestly --
22
             THE COURT: The issue is raised. Eleventh motion to
23
    compel. You have got to give me a time, and I'm going to
    enforce it, and then there is going to be some teeth behind it.
24
25
    I mean --
```

```
1
             MR. LOVELLETTE: I don't know exactly what those
2
    documents are. I don't know where they are located.
3
             THE COURT: Okay.
4
             MR. LOVELLETTE: I have to talk to DOC in order to
5
    answer that question.
6
             THE COURT: Yeah. You're going to talk with them by
7
    the close of business Friday. And you have three months max.
             You said three months. What do you want me to do --
8
9
             MS. BENNETT: Your Honor --
10
             THE COURT: -- three weeks?
             MS. BENNETT: Your Honor, three months would take us
11
12
    past the close of fact discovery --
13
             THE COURT: All right. Give me a date, Ms. Bennett.
             MS. BENNETT: -- in this case.
14
15
             THE COURT: What's reasonable?
             MS. BENNETT: Thirty days.
16
17
             THE COURT: Thirty days.
             MR. LOVELLETTE: We -- we have got to object, Judge.
18
19
    I don't even know what these documents are.
20
             MS. BENNETT: Your Honor --
21
             MR. LOVELLETTE:
                              They are --
22
             THE COURT: They have sent them forth in a 5th motion
23
    to compel and an 11th motion to compel. You have had notice of
    them at least in two motions to compel, and you keep saying you
24
    don't know what the documents are.
25
```

What do you want me to do? Every time you come in say, have a meet and confer, tell them again what the documents are that you have been seeking for all these months?

Okav. Talk about it. Get it straight. We'll have a status next Tuesday morning, and I want to know that you know and everybody knows what the documents are in their entirety so that I don't have to mess around with this on a 14th or 15th motion to compel. Okay?

MS. BENNETT: Your Honor, I was actually going to suggest, given the circular nature of the conversations that I have been having with the defendants about this every time, Mr. Duran has told me he doesn't know where the documents are.

> THE COURT: I know.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

MS. BENNETT: That Kevin and Mike are dealing with this. Mr. Stephenson said he didn't know what they are. And Mr. Lovellette has now said he doesn't know what they are.

I -- it would -- might advance this if someone from the legal department in the Department of Corrections were on the phone for the status next Tuesday since Mr. Lovellette has said that they are the ones who would know what these documents are. And then perhaps we could get to the bottom of this because it doesn't seem as if, you know, they have been asked.

THE COURT: All right. Well, let's do this. You're going to meet and confer and determine with absolute precision what each and every one of these documents are by Friday.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

And on Tuesday, if there is an individual with whom the Court should speak, I want you to have that individual ready and have that phone number ready, and we'll get that person on the line.

I don't want that issue to be raised now. I do want to anticipate that it might come up at our status next Tuesday and to have someone we can reach out to. We have conference call, telephone, speakers, recording devices. We're fully equipped. Okay?

We're sort of done with this issue. And it is about time that we just really march forward or I'm going to start considering harsher measures. Okay?

Seriously, let's get it done. We have been dragging and dragging. I know there is always something that is (unintelligible). I'm not accusing anyone of bad faith. But, you know, I really need to see some resolution to this. Okay? Friday, meet and confer by Friday.

Make sure they know all of the documents that are the subject. Maybe just print it out. Okay? And if there is a difficulty with respect to that, you better raise it with me Tuesday because I'm going to expect a full compliance with this long overdue discovery. Okay?

MS. BENNETT: Your Honor, I have sent emails. I have sent --

> I understand. THE COURT:

```
1
             MS. BENNETT: -- lists.
2
             THE COURT: Let's --
3
             MS. BENNETT: I -- they should know what these are.
4
             THE COURT: Well, let's start a new day today. Okay?
    And we'll proceed as positively as we can from there.
5
6
    Everybody onboard?
7
             All right. See you next Tuesday, 9:30?
             MR. LOVELLETTE: Yes.
8
9
             THE COURT: Okay.
10
             MS. BENNETT: Thank you, your Honor.
11
             MR. LOVELLETTE: Thank you, your Honor.
12
         (Which concluded the proceedings.)
13
                              CERTIFICATE
             I certify that the foregoing is a correct transcript
14
    from the digital recording of proceedings in the above-entitled
15
    matter to the best of my ability, given the limitation of using
16
    a digital-recording system.
17
18
19
20
    /s/Pamela S. Warren
                                           March 5, 2018
    Official Court Reporter
                                                 Date
21
    United States District Court
    Northern District of Illinois
22
    Eastern Division
23
24
25
```